

Community Board Meeting
Thursday 29 April, 6–7.45 pm, Google Meet

<p>Attendance: Community Board Members: 8 Members RBK: 1 Clerk/officer Chair: present</p> <p>Apologies: Community Board Members: 3 members</p>	
1.	Apologies given
2.	<p>Minutes</p> <p>a. Minutes approved</p>
3.	<p>Governance — A member/officer presented a slide of the governance structure for the CRE regeneration programme and gave an overview of the different functions for each of the three boards that form the governance structure: Community Board, Executive Board, LLP Board.</p> <p>a. The LLP board is the decision-making board and the Executive Board is responsible for interacting with both the LLP Board and Community Board to ensure a flow of information</p> <p>b. The Community Board is the representative body for CRE residents and has specific responsibilities outlined in the constitution under section 9. The executive board is required to ensure that the LLP board is provided with feedback from the Community Board and the Community Board receives feedback from the LLP board. There are set agenda/report items for the Executive and LLP board on the Community Board</p> <p>c. A senior representative from both Countryside and RBK attend all three boards so have oversight of all matters</p> <p>d. The council has a role in the LLP but also as landowner and freeholder, which it will retain. The council have reserved decision making powers on the business plan and commercially sensitive matters</p> <p>e. The Community Board is a standing item at executive board meetings where items raised at the community board can be communicated</p>
4.	<p>Draft Constitution — a copy of the draft constitution had been shared with members in advance and requests for questions and queries to be sent in advance. Members were thanked for submitted questions and queries but it was noted that not all submissions had been reviewed or responded to because they had not been received in time for the officer to process.</p> <p>a. Section 1: no comments, section agreed.</p> <p>b. Add 'consistently' before remain in clause 2.1</p> <p>c. Discussion on the aims and objectives around how these will be measured. Agreed to leave the aims as aspirational, remove 'objectives'</p>

from the section subheading, and add process and work plan to duties section (9) so that members can set themselves some annual objectives. It was agreed that this approach allows members to respond to the point in time and leave the aims as overall ambitions.

- d. No further comments, section 2 agreed
- e. There was a discussion about the remaining places and the definition of younger adults. It was agreed that members would ideally like young adults to be 18–24 years old but that there should be flexibility if there are no applicants for this age group and will consider applicants up to the age of 30. It was agreed to add this clarity. No further comments, section 3 agreed
- f. Agreed that new members' tenure should be standard 3 years. No further comments on Section 4 agreed
- g. There was a discussion about an AGM. It was agreed that the section needed to be restructured so that elections came later in the section and that it needed to say more about what would be covered at this meeting. It was noted that an AGM is an option for the community board, and is an opportunity to invite residents to meet with the Community Board but as a governance structure, the AGM is different than it would be for an independent organisation. No further comments, section 5 agreed.
- h. No comments on section 6. Section agreed with these additions.
- i. There was a discussion around the process to appoint co-opted members should a vacancy arise. It was decided that the current clauses were clear and sufficient for the constitution, but that the board would discuss the process (e.g. how to advertise) if and when this arose as it would need to take context into consideration. No further comments, section 7 agreed as drafted
- j. It was suggested that 8.3 was superfluous given 8.4 and should be deleted. Section 8 agreed with these changes.
- k. There was a discussion around the development update in section 9 and the deleted question. It was clarified that this was deleted as it had been confirmed that members would be able to give feedback on proposals at each stage through the consultation process. It was agreed to add in planning consultation and post-application feedback to the development update examples
- l. It was agreed to add to section 9 that the board would create an annual plan with objectives and measures that they would review at the end of the year to assess the performance of the board
- m. No further edits were suggested, section 9 agreed
- n. Section 10 — no comments and section agreed
- o. Suggested to change “may” to “will” on 11.1 — may would give expectation to meet but not require board to meet. Agreed to change to “will”. Section 11 agreed
- p. Agreed to add action log to item 12.1.

	<p>q. Agreed to change “can expect” to “will” but it was discussed that there would be times where this might not be possible if information is not available in the timeframe. Clause 12.3 allows the chair to consider late material. No further comments, section agreed with these two edits</p> <p>r. Discussion closed due to time commitments and section 13 onwards will be reviewed at the next session</p>
5.	<p>Planning Application update — A short presentation and briefing was provided to members to bring them up to date on the planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The central components of the hybrid planning application were covered for the benefit of new members and the officer offered new members to contact him if they would like a longer more detailed session at any point b. Members were informed that there will be a re-consultation by the Local Planning Authority. It was explained that this is normal for a project of this size because following a range of comments being received there are changes that are made to the proposal c. The CRE proposal has some small changes and the LLP is supportive of the LPA's decision for a re-consultation as this ensures transparency and gives residents the opportunity to feedback on these changes d. As the application involves an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) the requirement is that this re-consultation is 30 days e. Residents will get a letter from the LPA informing them about the re-consultation f. The areas where there are small changes were listed and examples were given live on the map, such a small change to the access of one of the future buildings to coordinate with the location of the bus stop following feedback from Transport for London, aligning a footpath outside (what is currently) Tadlow g. There are some points of clarification too such as more details on what the landscaping will look like in phase 1, and more robust information on the testing of air quality during construction, modelling of wind angles, and changes to the colour of the ceiling above balconies to reflect light h. Countryside rep updated members on the planning application timeline <p>Questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It was confirmed that the re-consultation will be in the same area as the previous consultation and include the CRE and neighbouring streets/properties. 2. It was clarified that the scheme may take 12–15 years as stated in the landlord offer but the intention is to deliver as soon as possible and minimise disruption 3. It was confirmed that the re-consultation represents a small delay, but it was expected and will hopefully be contained by proceeding with other aspects in parallel

	<p>4. It was confirmed that an exact spade in the ground date cannot be confirmed just yet as there are many variables but that the team is still working towards autumn and the Community Board will be kept updated</p>
<p>6.</p>	<p>AOB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CRE residents will get a letter next week from the team to explain the re-consultation and to contact the team if they had any questions or needed support b. Training is available for Community Board members on the planning process if they would like to know more about how the planning process works c. It was suggested and agreed that a special meeting will be arranged in May to conclude the constitution from section 13 onwards d. A first business as usual meeting will be arranged for the end of June e. New members need to email clerk and give permission for their emails (as this constitutes personal information) to be shared with other community board members f. All members should have personal email addresses set up as per the constitution g. It was confirmed that members emails will not be shared with residents
<p>7.</p>	<p>Next Meeting/s: May (constitution finalised) and June (CB meeting)</p>