

Community Board Meeting
Thursday 27 January – 10 am, Google Meet

Attendance: Community Board Members: 8 members plus Chair - meeting was quorate

JV: 4 Lesley Charlton (Chair) **Apologies:** 3 members

1.	<p>Welcome and apologies - Additional attendees to the meeting were welcomed. The interim Executive Director of Place was introduced and updated members that a permanent postholder will be starting in March. Apologies were given.</p>
2.	<p>Options Appraisal for temporary community facility- a presentation, which was marked confidential, was provided to members following their request. This covered the design parameters required to meet the practical requirements for a community hall and specific requirements for temporary structures in particular:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Each of the 12 locations was discussed in detail to outline the findings b. Feedback on the engagement on the proposal to refurbish Queen Mary Hall outlined that 80% of respondents had agreed with the proposal c. A summary table of the 12 different locations to illustrate that the refurbishment did not carry the same constraints as temporary locations d. In response to questions and concerns at previous meetings, a space comparison was given for the kitchen in Piper Hall and Queen Mary Hall <p>Questions/discussions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> e. Irregular space was explained as a space that is linear or L-shaped as opposed to a large rectangle f. Services for temporary structures would require further technical work and anticipated that some sites would be more challenging and difficult than others g. Temporary structures are lightweight and will be affected internally and externally by repetitive damage h. Kitchen size can be specified in modular system i. It was agreed to send members the presentation and further questions can be raised by members within the time frame available (mid-Feb) j. Members not present will be offered an opportunity to run through the presentation k. Members will get answers to further questions raised at the meeting l. One member felt that impacts on residents caused by a temporary facility were not a consideration as they will be disrupted by regeneration anyway m. There was a discussion on storage needs for some hall users and options for QMH can be considered n. There was a discussion on costs and it was confirmed that some temporary locations would cost more than others due to technical/site requirements. Modular units will be more expensive than refurbishment of QMH and not provide permanent benefit. It was confirmed that if the

	<p>modular option exceeded the budget then this cost would be a cost to the council as part of the joint venture and affect what can be provided in terms of homes and services</p> <p>o. Feedback from the Community Board will be considered by the LLP before making a final decision and is required by mid-February</p> <p>p. Agreed next steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Answers initial questions and presentation sent to members by 31 January ii. Further questions sent by 4 February and answers circulated to all members iii. Meeting the following week commencing 7 February for members to conclude feedback. It was accepted that due to scheduling challenges not all members may be able to attend and could send their views electronically
3.	<p>Minutes and Actions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. No proposed amendments or questions were received. b. Minutes 8 December 2021 were approved
4.	<p>Regeneration update report - a report was sent to members in advance and a few questions were received in advance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. It would be explored if an energy information leaflet could accompany a forthcoming newsletter [Action] b. The remaining rehousing notice letters are due to be sent to the last few phase 1 residents soon. This is based on the order of the development programme and the management of resources to ensure residents could be supported c. The rehousing process is different for households in temporary accommodation and they get their notice 6 months before their rehousing deadline. During this time they are moved into priority Band 1 to receive a direct offer of permanent housing as per the Landlord Offer. It was confirmed that all TA who have moved to date have been offered permanent housing d. A member gave feedback on Project Cosy and suggested that a review of this programme should be undertaken and suggested that comms to residents could have been better e. The number of enquiries to the ITHA relates to residents that have chosen to approach the service or have been referred by the regeneration team. f. Residents are reminded of this service in relevant letters from conversations with the regeneration team. This information is also on the website
5	<p>Community Chest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. It was agreed that members of the working group will update the full board at future meetings

	<p>b. The project lead updated members and outlined that two discussion workshops have taken place and largely focused on eligibility. A comparison table of grant schemes has been provided for members and this will be used to track comments as the programme develops. It was decided by members to meet frequently to develop the programme</p>
6.	<p>Recruitment - there are additional places on the board for CRE residents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Recruitment is required as soon as possible because of challenges with arranging quorate meetings b. Members agreed to prioritise younger adults (18-40 years old) but other applicants should be considered given the need to enable meetings to take place c. Members suggested an advert in a newsletter, social media, posters with QR code would be helpful. d. It was suggested that the advert could ask residents to encourage other people in their household to join and share the idea e. It was confirmed that the youth panel is for CRE residents under the age of 18 in order to ensure that this group were involved in the regeneration
7.	<p>Newsletter - there were no suggested items for the newsletter</p>
7.	<p>AOB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The suggested agenda items for the next meeting are: heating and sound insulation for new homes, proposal for the new community centre engagement, and the construction management plans. It was noted to members that these are time-critical due to the development programme and reflect requests from members and engagement with residents. b. It was agreed to add the clerk to the next agenda following a discussion about other agenda items, members present felt that this was a priority agenda item c. The Chair suggested that meetings require improved discipline from members in order to attend to the number of agenda items d. It was suggested that papers in advance would improve meeting efficiency e. The clerk asked for any suggestions to improve efficiency and ease to arrange meetings. No ideas were provided f. The clerk outlined that meetings are arranged based on the quorum for meetings: 3x CRE residents and 3x other members. Once this is met, the most popular date is used based on this quorum being met
8.	<p>Next Meeting/s:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Next Community Board meeting will be in March b. Next Community Chest meeting is on 4 February at 10 am and would look at the application forms if these are received in time